

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,517	10/06/2000	Cheol Kim	Q60624	8859
75	7590 07/08/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
	nia Avenue, N.W. C 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
wasnington, D	20037-3213	•	2624	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/679,517	KIM, CHEOL				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. Brinich	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 6/16/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evident compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying t	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24 0					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-3 and 9.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-7</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>4 and 8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Attachment.			ice because:			
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)				

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 10/6/2000. These drawings are approved.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re claims 4 & 8, Applicant argues (6/16/05 Response: page 2, line 8 - page 3, line 14) that Mutoh discloses a color image rather than a gray scale image, and that the multi-bit dithering of the Mutoh image to produce an image consisting of variable-sized halftone dots via pulse width modulation (as disclosed by Mutoh, see Figures 4 & 7; column 4, lines 34-55; column 5, line 61 - column 6, line 4; and column 6 lines 27-30) thus fails to anticipate claims 4 & 8.

However, this does not address the fact that outstanding Final Rejection reads these claims upon the Mutoh halftone reproduction of the black color component (see column 6 lines 27-30). The levels of the black color component correspond to "gray scale" as that term is generally understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, and the reproduction of the black ink variable-sized halftone dots via pulse width modulation (as opposed to that of the yellow, cyan, and magenta ink halftone

Application/Control Number: 09/679,517

Art Unit: 2624

Page 3

dots) are thus executed according to the gray component value of the corresponding pixel.

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306 .

(571-273-8300 as of July 15, 2005).

Application/Control Number: 09/679,517

Art Unit: 2624

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich Examiner Art Unit 2624 Page 4

smb **SWB** July 6, 2005

THIMAS P.

PERMAY LEE

PRIMARY EXAMINER